metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Santiago Reinoso, Pablo Vitoria, Leire San Felices, Luis Lezama and Juan M. Gutiérrez-Zorrilla*

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco, Apdo. 644, E-48080 Bilbao, Spain

Correspondence e-mail: juanma.zorrilla@ehu.es

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.042 wR factor = 0.081 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

μ -Oxalato- $\kappa^4 O^1, O^2: O^{1'}, O^{2'}$ -bis[aqua(2,2'-bipyridyl- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)copper(II)] bis(hydrogen squarate)

The title compound, $[Cu_2(C_2O_4)(C_{10}H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_2](C_4HO_4)_2$, contains a dinuclear μ -oxalato-dicopper(II) complex cation in which the oxalate ligand (ox) bridges the Cu atoms in a bisbidentate fashion. The square-pyramidal environment of each Cu atom is completed by the two N atoms of the 2,2'bipyridine ligand (bpy) and by one apical water molecule. The hydrogen squarate counter-anions (Hsq) form cyclic dimeric units through strong $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. The crystal structure shows alternating hydrogen-bonded complex cations and cyclic anionic units. Received 19 July 2005 Accepted 27 July 2005 Online 6 August 2005

Comment

The remarkable ability of the oxalate bridge to mediate exchange coupling between first-row transition metal ions has attracted significant interest in the molecular magnetism area (Khan, 1993). Oxalato-copper(II) dinuclear complexes constitute one of the most deeply studied systems because of the strong magneto-structural correlations found (Cano et al., 1998). Besides the series of compounds with general formula $[{\operatorname{Cu}(\mathrm{bpy})(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O})(X)}_2(\mu \operatorname{-ox})] \cdot [\operatorname{Cu}(\mathrm{bpy})(\mathrm{ox})], \quad X = \mathrm{NO}_3^-,$ ClO₄⁻ and BF₄⁻ (Julve et al., 1984; Gleizes et al., 1992), the crystal structures of some complexes derived from $[{Cu(bpy)}_2(\mu - ox)]^{2+}$ dinuclear cations have already been reported, such as the nitrate (Castillo et al., 1999), chloride (Chattopadhyay et al., 2002) and hexafluorophosphate (Thomas et al., 2000). We report here the synthesis and crystal structure of the title new salt of the same dinuclear cation. $[{Cu(bpy)(H_2O)}_2(\mu - ox)](Hsq)_2, (I).$



The asymmetric unit of (I) contains one $[{Cu(bpy)(H_2O)}_2(\mu \text{-}ox)]^{2+}$ complex cation and two hydrogen squarate anions (Fig. 1). The complex cation consists of two Cu^{II} atoms bridged by an oxalate ligand in the usual bisbidentate fashion, the Cu–ox–Cu framework displaying a boat conformation. The coordination geometry around each Cu^{II} atom is square-pyramidal, CuN₂O₃, with two O atoms of the ox bridge and two N atoms of the bpy ligand in the basal plane, and a water molecule in the apical position.

 \odot 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

ORTEP-3 plot of (I) (50% probability displacement ellipsoids). Aromatic H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.



Experimental

A solution of squaric acid (23 mg) in water (20 ml) was added to a solution containing $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (68 mg), 2,2'-bipyridine (62 mg) and oxalic acid (25 mg) in water (30 ml) and methanol (10 ml). Single crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of the reaction mixture. Elemental analysis found: C 45.42, H 2.86, N 7.04%; calculated for $C_{30}Cu_2H_{22}N_4O_{14}$: C 45.63, H 2.81, N 7.10%.

Crystal data

| $[C_{\rm H}(C, \Omega)(C, H, N)]$ | 7 - 2 |
|--|---|
| $(U_{2}(C_{2}O_{4})(C_{10}H_{8}N_{2}))^{-1}$ | L = 2 |
| $(H_2O)_2 (C_4HO_4)_2$ | $D_x = 1.739$ Mg m |
| $M_r = 789.62$ | Mo $K\alpha$ radiation |
| Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ | Cell parameters from 6000 |
| a = 8.0147 (12) Å | reflections |
| b = 9.2276 (12) Å | $\theta = 3.5 - 25^{\circ}$ |
| c = 21.728 (2) Å | $\mu = 1.49 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\alpha = 92.049 (10)^{\circ}$ | T = 295 (2) K |
| $\beta = 94.860 \ (11)^{\circ}$ | Prism, blue |
| $\gamma = 109.283 \ (12)^{\circ}$ | $0.14 \times 0.09 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$ |
| V = 1507.9 (4) Å ³ | |
| Data collection | |
| Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur | 8613 independent reflections |
| diffractometer | 3850 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ |
| ω scans | $R_{\rm int} = 0.035$ |
| Absorption correction: analytical | $\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$ |
| (CrysAlis RED; Oxford | $h = -8 \rightarrow 11$ |
| Diffraction, 2003) | $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ |
| $T_{\min} = 0.719, T_{\max} = 0.843$ | $l = -30 \rightarrow 30$ |
| 14798 measured reflections | |



Figure 2

View of the crystal packing along the [110] direction. Dashed lines indicate $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.081$ S = 0.768613 reflections 469 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.028P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.54 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.28 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

| Cu1-N1 | 1.958 (2) | C34-C35 | 1.494 (4) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Cu1-N12 | 1.969 (2) | C35-O35 | 1.253 (3) |
| Cu1-O1 | 1.9775 (17) | C35-C36 | 1.411 (4) |
| Cu1-O2 | 1.9644 (17) | C36-O36 | 1.297 (3) |
| Cu1-O50 | 2.219 (3) | C36-C33 | 1.435 (4) |
| Cu2-N21 | 1.957 (2) | C37-O37 | 1.256 (3) |
| Cu2-N32 | 1.954 (2) | C37-C38 | 1.417 (4) |
| Cu2-O3 | 1.9746 (17) | C38-O38 | 1.295 (3) |
| Cu2-O4 | 1.9778 (17) | C38-C39 | 1.453 (4) |
| Cu2-O51 | 2.277 (3) | C39-O39 | 1.213 (3) |
| C33-O33 | 1.223 (3) | C39-C40 | 1.510 (4) |
| C33-C34 | 1.497 (4) | C40-O40 | 1.212 (3) |
| C34-O34 | 1.219 (3) | C40-C37 | 1.483 (4) |
| | | | |
| N1-Cu1-N12 | 82.36 (9) | N21-Cu2-N32 | 82.50 (9) |
| N1-Cu1-O2 | 171.31 (8) | N21-Cu2-O4 | 171.87 (9) |
| N1-Cu1-O50 | 99.90 (9) | N21-Cu2-O51 | 99.52 (9) |
| N12-Cu1-O1 | 168.28 (8) | N32-Cu2-O3 | 174.38 (9) |
| N12-Cu1-O50 | 100.62 (9) | N32-Cu2-O51 | 99.76 (9) |
| O1-Cu1-O2 | 85.40 (7) | O3-Cu2-O4 | 85.50 (7) |
| O1-Cu1-O50 | 91.04 (9) | O3-Cu2-O51 | 85.84 (9) |
| O2-Cu1-O50 | 88.79 (9) | O4-Cu2-O51 | 88.33 (8) |

| Table 2 | | |
|------------------------|-----|-----|
| Hydrogen-bond geometry | (Å, | °). |

| $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | D-H | $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$ |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| O36−H36····O37 | 0.79 (4) | 1.75 (4) | 2.517 (3) | 164 (4) |
| O38-H38···O35 | 0.79 (4) | 1.77 (4) | 2.546 (3) | 166 (4) |
| $O50-H50A\cdots O34^{i}$ | 0.72 (2) | 2.03 (2) | 2.711 (3) | 158 (4) |
| $O50-H50B\cdots O37^{ii}$ | 0.72 (3) | 2.05 (3) | 2.737 (3) | 161 (4) |
| $O51-H51A\cdots O35^{i}$ | 0.72 (3) | 2.07 (3) | 2.758 (3) | 161 (4) |
| $O51 - H51B \cdots O40^{ii}$ | 0.72 (3) | 2.03 (3) | 2.722 (3) | 164 (4) |
| $C2-H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O1$ | 0.93 | 2.52 | 3.037 (3) | 115 |
| C3-H3···O33 ⁱⁱⁱ | 0.93 | 2.39 | 3.296 (4) | 166 |
| C5-H5···O34 ^{iv} | 0.93 | 2.52 | 3.360 (4) | 151 |
| C8−H8···O33 ^{iv} | 0.93 | 2.47 | 3.198 (4) | 136 |
| $C25-H25\cdots O39^{v}$ | 0.93 | 2.42 | 3.153 (4) | 136 |
| C30-H30···O39 ^{vi} | 0.93 | 2.37 | 3.284 (4) | 169 |
| C31-H31···O4 | 0.93 | 2.50 | 3.021 (3) | 116 |

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y+1, z; (ii) x-1, y, z; (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iv) -x, -y, -z+1; (v) -x+2, -y+1, -z; (vi) -x+1, -y, -z.

The H atoms of the water molecules and hydrogen squarate anions were located in a Fourier difference map. All remaining H atoms were positioned geometrically. Hydrogen squarate and water H atoms were refined freely, except that all water O-H distances were restrained to be equal to within 0.01 Å. All remaining H atoms were refined as riding, with isotropic displacement parameters fixed at 1.2 times U_{eq} of their parent atoms.

Data collection: *CrysAlisCCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); cell refinement: *CrysAlisRED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); data reduction: *CrysAlisRED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *DIRDIF99.2* (Beurskens *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure:

SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

This work was supported by Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (MAT2002–03166) and Universidad del País Vasco (9/UPV00169.310–15329/2003). SR thanks Gobierno Vasco for his doctoral fellowship.

References

Beurskens, P. T., Beurskens, G., de Gelder, R., García-Granda, S., Gould, R. O., Israel, R. & Smits, J. M. M. (1999). *The DIRDIF99 Program System*. Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Cano, J., Alemany, P., Álvarez, S., Verdaguer, M. & Ruiz, M. (1998). Chem. Eur. J. 4, 476–484.

Castillo, O., Muga, I., Luque, A., Gutiérrez-Zorrilla, J. M., Sertucha, J., Vitoria, P. & Román, P. (1999). *Polyhedron*, **18**, 1235–1245.

Chattopadhyay, S. K., Seth, S. & Mak, T. C. W. (2002). J. Coord. Chem. 55, 259–270.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Gleizes, A., Julve, M., Verdaguer, M., Real, J. A., Faus, J. & Solans, X. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3209–3216.

Julve, M., Faus, J., Verdaguer, M. & Gleizes, A. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 8306–8308.

Khan, O. (1993). Molecular Magnetism. New York: VCH.

Oxford Diffraction (2003). *CrysAlisCCD* and *CrysAlisRED*. Version 1.170. Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Abingdon, Oxford, England.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Thomas, A. M., Mandal, G. C., Tiwary, S. K., Rath, R. K. & Chakravarty, A. R. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1395–1396.